

Response ID	Response Date	Are you an eligible voter	Which Community do you live in?	Which options can you support? (check all that apply)	Please provide any comments on any or all the options:
3533	22/03/2015 22:24	Yes	Walden	Option A Option C	option B creates more changes than are necessary; also, configuration of communities around Mahone Bay is too upsetting to the residents living there
3534	22/03/2015 22:30	Yes	Walden	Option A Option C	Walden is best served by map option A or C; there is no dominant community in either of these 2 maps which best serves Walden residents
3535	22/03/2015 22:34	Yes	Dublin Shore	Option A Option C	Councillors in new districts A, B, and C are underworked in map option B. How come they have so few residents to serve? The other 2 maps are more fair as they serve more residents.
3536	22/03/2015 22:39	Yes	Dublin Shore	Option A Option C	You can't get ahead of that Lee Nauss. I bet he is all for option B. Too few residents in new districts A, B and C on map option B. I bet he isn't even embarrassed to submit this map option B.
3550	23/03/2015 12:01	Yes	Newburne	Option A	Option A provides the best solution to public concerns at boundary review meetings. Option C would be my second choice. Option B should not be an option!!!!!!
3564	24/03/2015 1:42	Yes	Maplewood	Option A	Option A is the best as it only has one negative which is District D, the rest have all positives so this makes the best sense. I hope this is not all cut and dried and council has not already made up their minds, Thank God people turned out the last time to stop your previous decision.
3601	25/03/2015 15:54	Yes	Barss Corner	Option A	This is the best option for my community
3602	25/03/2015 15:58	Yes	Barss Corner	Option A	This is the best option for brass corner and area

3603	25/03/2015 16:00	Yes	Clearland	Option A	I want our community and blockhouse to remain in the same district
3704	29/03/2015 23:53	Yes	Farmville	Option B	
3705	29/03/2015 23:55	Yes	Farmville	Option A Option B	
3724	30/03/2015 19:08	Yes	Back Centre	Option A Option C	
3740	31/03/2015 12:40	Yes	Wileville	Option A Option B Option C	<p>How does it make sense to allow the councilors to have final say in the boundaries to which they run for election in?? How was this going to go any other way than what it has. I selected all of the maps, simply because this does not matter. This process is one of the largest wastes of tax payers money and public resources that I have ever seen. By the time this is settled it will be time to do it all over again. The only people in this community that have concerns about this are the councilors and they care only because they want to be re-elected. The fact that they are permitted to act and carry themselves in the fashion they have in regards to this matter is simply unacceptable. I am very disappointed that these people represent us as a community and that they are allowed to waste time and money like they are over petty, childish concerns. Pick a darn map, and end this gigantic waste of public resources, and political fueled nonsense. A group of 12 children could interact and come to an amicable solution far better than this group of "adults". VERY DISAPOINTED</p>
3765	01/04/2015 14:17	Yes	Blockhouse	Option A Option C	<p>I do not support Option B. The "community of interest" for the Blockhouse area has been well established since 1753. The communities that surround Blockhouse and the Town of Mahone Bay are our " community of interest". Option B assumes Blockhouse's "community of interest " to include Watford and Newburne, among others. This is a false assumption.</p>
3830	05/04/2015 21:22	Yes	Conquerall Bank	Option A Option B	<p>All options are good. I like option B the most. Why are we having you folks go around a second time?</p>

3849	06/04/2015 16:03	Yes	Oakland	Option A Option C	I do NOT support Option B . Options A & C do not sever our community of interest .
3851	06/04/2015 16:11	Yes	Oakland	Option A Option C	Either A or C are acceptable. Option B was rejected at the Nov 2014 public meeting by the vast majority of people in the subject areas.
3860	07/04/2015 0:11	Yes	Sweetland	Option A Option C	
3874	07/04/2015 14:18	Yes	Parkdale	Option B	Folks in the Parkdale/Maplewood community/area identify with New Germany. Connections include Fire Dept, schools, fuel, groceries, drug store, bank, dentist, hair care, senior citizens assoc, liquor store, legion, Lion's Club, trades, relatives.
3875	07/04/2015 14:31	Yes	Parkdale	Option B	We work more closely with New Germany than the other communities - fire department, bank, groceries, drug store, etc. - I support Option B
3918	09/04/2015 13:15	Yes	New Cumberland	Option A Option B Option C	
3930	10/04/2015 0:54	Yes	Indian Point	Option A Option C	
3942	10/04/2015 13:07	Yes	Conquerall Mills	Option B	
3969	11/04/2015 16:20	Yes	Parkdale	Option A Option C	Option B is not acceptable. Actually we would prefer status quo. It has been working why change. I know the review is mandatory but who and why are you changing something that everyone is presently happy with. Very confusing since most people I speak with don't want change

3995	12/04/2015 20:28	Yes	Oakland	Option A Option C	Preferred option is Option A
4057	15/04/2015 10:54	Yes	Fauxburg	Option A	
4058	15/04/2015 10:56	Yes	Fauxburg	Option A	
4061	15/04/2015 13:10	Yes	Blockhouse	Option A	In my opinion map A causes the least disruption and is closest to the boundaries now. The other option is for Council to rescind their decision and go with status quo.
4090	16/04/2015 17:27	Yes	Upper Lahave	Option A Option C	My area should be included in a district that runs right down the river With boundary changes being reviewed what is the purpose for and what goal is intended to be reached. With option A B C, I was to a meeting it was all about Option A/ B was mentioned with only a very few remarks. I think we have enough council people as of now if each one does a purposeful job what more do we need. With this being said the boundary lines if changed is adding fuel to a fire. I think we may need some new faces on the page of council people (some) with 30-- 40 years still being in office is staling the efforts of moving forward with new ideas. There are some council members when trying to speak out, are shut down by other members that does not give a dam about the future, they are in past long time gone, and only there for a pay cheque Regards Elroy Tanner
4114	17/04/2015 14:20	Yes	Blue Rocks	Option A	
4162	19/04/2015 14:51	Yes	Fauxburg	Option C	Option C - Fauxburg joining Mahone Bay District seems the most logical answer to me. I have completed this survey at Terry Dorey's home during a visit. I am very happy with the present district 6 configuration. It works well for Barss Corner and for the other nearby communities. If option A works for the rest of MODL, it certainly is the best choice for me.
4168	19/04/2015 19:40	Yes	Barss Corner	Option A	

4169	19/04/2015 19:44	Yes	Barss Corner	Option A	I am completing this survey while visiting Terry & Norma Dorey at their home. Map option A allows Barss Corner and the surrounding communities to have the same district as is now. This has worked well for us for 25 years or more. Why change if you have a choice? I feel sorry for the people surrounding Mahone Bay. My friends there tell me that map option B is being forced upon them by the old boys club at Council. When are those old worn out minds gonna give up and go to pasture?
4170	19/04/2015 20:06	Yes	Middle New Cornwall	Option A	Optionj A is the option I am voting for
4171	19/04/2015 20:08	Yes	Middle New Cornwall	Option A	I have voted in the previous screen to Option A
4172	19/04/2015 20:10	Yes	Walden	Option A	I want Option A to rule
4173	19/04/2015 20:11	Yes	Walden	Option A	I like Option A the best
4174	19/04/2015 20:12	Yes	West Northfield	Option A	Option A is the best option
4202	20/04/2015 23:14	Yes	Lilydale	Option A Option C	Option B - Taking Northwest Road communities and Centre away from district and putting them with Riverport (a coastal area miles away) doesn't seem sensible.Oakland, Indian Pt and Martins River should be with Mahone Bay area. I gave ou 2 options but my preferred is A
4283	24/04/2015 20:33	Yes	Parkdale	Option A	Option A sounds like the one
4285	24/04/2015 22:33	Yes	Parkdale	Option A	I am very pleased with Mr. Dorey

4288	25/04/2015 1:22	Yes	Parkdale	Option A	Because he has worked hard for our concerns & he certainly kept us updated. Great worker - please keep him in our area.
4290	25/04/2015 2:13	Yes	Parkdale	Option A	<p>He is very accessible to people in his area. Appreciate the work he has done for us. Hard worker.</p> <p>I live in district I: Option B seems to be closest to holding the natural community together. It does run eastward toward Mahone Bay, but that is likely preferable to running north all the way to Bridgewater.</p> <p>The Pos/Neg document stated for Option A that "District F has the lowest parity (â€9.6%) and is the highest growth potential" However, the Option A map states the Voter Parity to be - 6.2%.</p>
4314	26/04/2015 18:00	Yes	Riverport	Option B	The Option B Map states that District I has the highest (?) parity are +9.8% but this is not pointed out in the Pos/Neg document. This should be a negative since each voter has proportionately less say in MODL affairs.

Option A: This is a poor choice but the best choice only because weak objections were raised against this option at the public consultations. It was knowingly forwarded as an option that divided a community of interest and it represents a failure on the part of staff and politicians to put that extra effort into creating at least one attractive option amongst the three. Should Option A be selected, it will be interesting to see how strongly the voters in the community of interest around Lower Branch, Branch LaHave and the Northfields feel about this division of their community of interest.

Option B is a non-starter because in forwarding this proposal as an option, politicians have managed to inflame voters negative opinions in a significant, well recognized and well organized community of interest. These inflamed negative opinions extend to the process, the staff, the politicians and the municipal government in general. It has attracted undue attention to the internal workings of both staff and Council. Selection of this option will also be very interesting, as the follow-on steps of the Electoral Boundary Review process will likely become an "œus versus them" confrontation which will undoubtedly spill over into the NSUARB hearing.

Option C clearly divides a known and strong community of interest between Branch LaHave and Lower Branch. While this community of interest was politely explained by residents in their objection to Option C, the selection of this option would undoubtedly provoke a very noticeable and negative reaction from voters in these areas.

Maintaining the status quo (12 Councillors) was raised and supported at every public consultation. It remains unclear to the voters whether this is indeed a viable option. Moreover, it is troubling that the process did not include a clear effort to investigate and explain to the voters exactly why the status quo is so objectionable. Hiding behind the analysis of the returns from the "œsize of Council survey" does not seem like a good strategy to deal with a skeptical group of voters on this question.

4316	26/04/2015 18:46	Yes	Pentz	Option A
4319	26/04/2015 21:10	Yes	Middle New Cornwall	Option B
4320	26/04/2015 21:32	Yes	Middle New Cornwall	Option A
4335	27/04/2015 10:57	Yes	Middle New Cornwall	Option A